A new form of dynamic response for a flexibly mounted, rotating cylinder in a current, as observed in experiments, has been investigated through 2-D, laminar CFD. Orbital response of opposite rotation to that of the cylinder and with amplitudes of several diameters can occur with a maximum at $z = 0.3$, where $z$ is the ratio of current velocity to rotation speed of the cylinder surface. Instantaneous flows and forces for this $z$ value are related to those for a nonresponding cylinder but it is shown that the forcing is far from quasi-steady and is due to rapidly changing wake structures during part of a cycle.

1. INTRODUCTION

For deep-water offshore oil exploration, the possibility of using a drillstring without an outer casing is of operational interest. It poses the hydrodynamic problem of a flexibly mounted rotating cylinder in a current. To investigate this, simple experiments with a lightly damped rotating cylinder in a current and computational fluid dynamics (CFD) have been undertaken.

A new form of orbital response was observed in the experiments. It is mainly dependent on the ratio, $z$, of current velocity, $U_{\text{cur}}$, to cylinder surface velocity, $U_{\text{rot}}$, and the reduced velocity, $V_r = U_{\text{cur}} / f_n D$, where $f_n$ is the natural frequency in water and $D$ is the diameter. Low-frequency response with an amplitude of several diameters can occur with $0.25 < z < 0.5$, the orbital rotation being of opposite sense to the cylinder rotation, and high-frequency response of small amplitude, with orbital rotation of the same sense, may be superimposed, becoming more noticeable as $z$ decreases. Elementary potential-flow analysis consistently predicts that there will be two natural periods of orbital oscillation: one larger than the structural natural period and one smaller. This analysis combined with empirical estimates of the Magnus force gave approximate predictions of the oscillation frequencies observed. The analysis does not, however, explain the origin of the hydrodynamic mechanisms causing the response to occur. In order to understand this, a 2-D
computational study has been undertaken where streamline and vorticity plots may be related to response and force time histories. Such numerical simulation is now quite reliable for unsteady, laminar flow which, in 2-D, may be undertaken on modern workstations. The finite-volume code of Lien & Leschziner (1994) has been adapted for cylinder flows (Cobbin et al. 1998) and further modified to allow dynamic response by modifying the outer boundary conditions to define the velocity relative to the cylinder and the pressure gradient with the effect of relative flow acceleration. The resulting computed force includes a Froude–Krylov component which must be subtracted to correspond with the force in the experimental conditions. Values of mass, damping and natural frequency typical of the practical situation are chosen: the cylinder mass is given a relative density of 2, the logarithmic decrement of damping $\delta = 0.01$ and $V_r = 14$. A Reynolds number $Re_{cur} = U_{cur} D / \nu = 200$ ($\nu$ is kinematic viscosity) was chosen for the dynamic simulations as this is approximately the largest value (for nonrotating cylinders) which allows 2-D flow, before 3-D effects appear. This is an order of magnitude lower than that in the experiments which is an order of magnitude lower than in the offshore problem.

The mass-spring-damper system defining the displacements with two degrees of freedom, $x$ and $y$, is given by

$$\ddot{x} + 2c_{ox} \dot{x} + \omega_n^2 x - 2c_{oy} \dot{y} = F_x/m,$$
$$\ddot{y} + 2c_{oy} \dot{y} + \omega_n^2 y + 2c_{ox} \dot{x} = F_y/m,$$ (1)

where $m$ is the mass per unit length, $F_x$, $F_y$ are forces per unit length in the $x$- and $y$-directions, $\omega$ is the angular rotation (clockwise) speed of the cylinder, $\omega_n$ and $c$ are the structural natural frequency and damping ratio in vacuo/air (although the value of $f_o$ in water is used to define $V'_r$). Note the additional cross-coupling effect due to cylinder rotation (Bishop 1959).

It is the intention of this note to summarize some of the CFD results which give insight into the origin of the response where it is a maximum. Further detailed description, including experiments, potential-flow analysis and CFD, will be given in Stansby & Rainey (2001).

2. RESULTS

It is first important to known how the flow around a rotating cylinder which is not responding depends on $z$. Figure 1 shows streamline plots with $Re_{cur} = 200$ and $z = 0.2$, $0.25$, $0.3$, $0.5$ and $1.0$. For $z = 0.2$, the stagnation point is detached from the cylinder surface (and on the $y$-axis); the streamlines are similar to those of a point vortex in a uniform stream. For $z = 0.25$ the stagnation point has moved closer the cylinder surface and a steady wake has started to form with $z = 0.3$. Note that the stagnation point never actually reaches the surface due to the surface velocity. For $z = 0.5$, the wake has increased in size but remains attached, fluctuating slightly about a mean position. For $z = 1$, vortex shedding has become established, generating fluctuating lift and drag forces as shown in Figure 1(f). The dependence of these flows on $z$ is consistent with the early experimental visualisations shown in Prandtl & Tietjens (1934).

Computed variations of mean lift and drag with $z$ are shown in Figure 2 with $Re_{cur} = 200$ and $10^3$, where drag coefficient is defined in the usual way, $C_D = \text{drag}/(0.5 \rho U_{cur}^2 D)$, and lift coefficient is defined as the fraction of the inviscid Magnus force, $c_L = \text{lift}/(\rho U_{cur} \Gamma)$ where $\Gamma = \pi DU_{rot}$ and $\rho$ is water density. From the present computations, for small $z$, $C_D$ is very small and $c_L \to 1$ as $z \to 0$, in agreement with the theoretical (asymptotic) analysis of Moore (1957).
Figure 1. Computed streamline plots for a nonresponding rotating cylinder with $Re_{rot} = 200$: (a) $\alpha = 0.2$, (b) $\alpha = 0.25$, (c) $\alpha = 0.3$, (d) $\alpha = 0.5$, (e) $\alpha = 1.0$; (f) lift and drag force variation with time for $\alpha = 1.0$ (force normalized by $\mu U_{rot}^2 D/2$). Flow is from left to right.
When the cylinder is free to respond (for $tU_{rot}/D > 5$) with the parameters defined above, $x$- and $y$-response variations with time are shown in Figure 3 with the corresponding $y$ versus $x$ plot in Figure 4, showing the orbital nature of the response and some small-amplitude, high-frequency effects. The anticlockwise, large orbital response is of opposite rotation to the clockwise cylinder rotation and the small, high-frequency response is of the same rotation. Points of maximum and minimum $x$-response are denoted by A and C and of maximum and minimum $y$-response by B and D. Time variations of force in the $x$- and $y$-directions, $F_x$ and $F_y$, are shown in Figure 5 and can be seen to be in phase with response. The high-frequency force fluctuations are associated with the high-frequency response between A and C.

The “instantaneous” $c_L$ and $a$ due to flow relative to the cylinder are of interest to determine whether quasi-steady assumptions are of value. It is well known, for example, that the flow-induced oscillation known as galloping, resulting from the variation of lift with angle of incidence for noncircular sections, is a quasi-steady phenomenon. Since $a$ is now an instantaneous value $\tilde{a}$ it is now used to define $U_{cur}/U_{rot}$. Instantaneous lift and drag coefficients and $\tilde{a}$ are based on the relative onset velocities: $u_{rel} = U_{cur} - \dot{x}$, $v_{rel} = -\dot{y}$, so
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Figure 2. Computed mean force coefficients for a fixed rotating cylinder. (a) $c_L$ variation with $a$: $\odot$, $Re_{cur} = 200$; $+$, $Re_{cur} = 10^3$. (b) $C_D$ variation with $a$; notation as in (a).
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Figure 3. Variation of $y/D$ and $x/D$ with $(tU_{rot}/D)$ for $\tilde{a} = 0.3$ and $V_t = 14$. 
that the angle of incidence $\theta = \tan^{-1} (v_{rel}/u_{rel})$ and velocity magnitude $U = \sqrt{u_{rel}^2 + v_{rel}^2}$ gives an instantaneous $u = U/U_{rot}$. The corresponding lift and drag forces transverse to and in line with the instantaneous onset velocity are given by

$$F_L = F_x \cos \theta - F_y \sin \theta, \quad F_D = F_x \cos \theta + F_y \sin \theta.$$  

(2)
Lift is then normalized so that instantaneous $c_L = F_L/(\rho U^2 \Gamma)$ and $c_D$ (as distinct from $C_D$) is normalized in the same way for comparison with $c_L$. Variations of $c_L$, $c_D$, and $\alpha$ with time are shown in Figure 6 and points A–D are marked on the $c_L$ curve.

From Figure 6 it is clear that a rapid increase in $\alpha$ around time A coincides with a rapid decrease in $c_L$. Corresponding streamline and vorticity patterns (for flow relative to the cylinder) are shown in Figure 7 for times $tU_{rot}/D = 780, 785, 790$ and 795. For “small” $\alpha$, corresponding to times between C ($tU_{rot}/D = 647$), and A ($tU_{rot}/D = 782$), the stagnation point is well below the cylinder, typical of a nonresponding cylinder with $\alpha < 0.25$. As $\alpha$ increases rapidly through time A, the stagnation point moves upwards towards the cylinder and an attached wake starts to form soon after time A, at about time 785. By time 790 a wake has formed, associated with a marked decrease in $c_L$. With high $\alpha$ values around time B the attached wake fluctuates rapidly about some slowly varying position and this is responsible for the high-frequency components in the force variation, the period being about $9D/U_{rot}$. By time C the wake is about to collapse and the stagnation point move away from the cylinder as the cycle is completed.

Plots of $c_L$ against $\alpha$ are shown in Figure 8 with positions A–D marked. These are plotted for the second half of the time-series where the motion has become periodic. It can be seen that the gradient is generally negative and periodic dynamic response is associated with a pronounced hysteresis loop. It is interesting to see that the high-frequency behaviour is quite repeatable from one cycle to another. The $c_L$ versus $\alpha$ curve effectively defines the response since $c_D$ is small in relation to $c_L$. Unfortunately, the curve is quite complex and different for each value of $\bar{\alpha}$ [shown in Stansby & Rainey (2001)]. The variation of $c_L$ with $\alpha$ in a cycle is thus far removed from the mean $c_L$ versus $\alpha$ variation for a nonresponding cylinder.

It should be mentioned that these computations are extremely time-consuming. The high-frequency flow structures need to be resolved requiring a small time step while the slow oscillations require long times to cover several cycles. The above run required 4–5 days of computation time (each) on a modern workstation (Dec Alpha 600). A mesh with $80 \times 80$ nodes was used with an inner radial mesh spacing of $\sqrt{2\Gamma\Delta t}$, where $\Delta t$ is time step (the diffusion length scale), and an outer boundary at $20D$. A time step given by $\Delta tU_{rot}/D = 0.05$ was used. Using a $120 \times 120$ mesh with an outer boundary at greater distances showed convergence almost of within plotting accuracy.
Figure 7. (a) Streamline plots at \( \theta = \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}, \theta_1 \) and \( \theta_2 \) (as marked on the figure). The flow is relative to the cylinder. (b) Vorticity plots for (a).
3. CONCLUSIONS

The large orbital response of opposite rotation to that of the cylinder, observed in experiments, has been qualitatively reproduced by CFD for laminar 2-D flow. Maximum response occurs with \( \dot{\alpha} = 0\) and results for this case with \( V_r = 14 \) are shown to aid understanding of the hydrodynamic mechanisms causing response. The response and force are shown to be in phase, and there is a rapid decrease in “instantaneous” lift coefficient \( c_L \) associated with rapid movement of the stagnation point towards the cylinder, causing wake formation. This is associated with a rapid increase in instantaneous \( \alpha \). As the cycle progresses, wake formation eventually ceases and the stagnation point moves away from the cylinder; \( \alpha \) decreases and \( c_L \) increases until the dramatic changes noted above are repeated. There is some similarity with \( \beta \) flows for a nonresponding cylinder at different (constant) \( \dot{\alpha} \) values. However, the plot of \( c_L \) versus \( \alpha \) shows that the forcing is far from quasi-steady with pronounced hysteresis. High-frequency, small-amplitude response is superimposed for part of the cycle; both the low- and high-frequency responses are consistent with elementary potential-flow analysis. A more general description and analysis is given in Stansby & Rainey (2001).
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